UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,439	07/26/2006	Todd A. Eckert	17303-62825 5580	
35973 BINGHAM M	7590 05/04/2007 CHALE LLP	EXAMINER		
2700 MARKET TOWER 10 WEST MARKET STREET INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-4900			SINGH, KAVEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3651	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		05/04/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptodocket@binghammchale.com mschantz@binghammchale.com

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/549,439	ECKERT ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kavel P. Singh	3651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS INSTRUCTION OF A SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Opened for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply lift rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ap</u>	oril 2007.					
		action is non-final.					
3)							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Diamoniti	an of Claims						
·	on of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-40 and 46-55</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>41-55</u> is/are rejected.	1 1					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	, ,					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers	ļ ,					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
	-		biected to by the Examiner				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 September 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
		- · ·	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
•			10 m 1 m 1				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not rece	eived.				
		t,					
Attach							
Attachment(s)							
) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patient Application							
Papei	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) [] Other:					

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-40 and 46-55 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 4/06/07.

Applicant's election with traverse of Invention I in the reply filed on 4/06/07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that claims 46-55 is misclassified and is not a burden for the examination. This is not found persuasive because Because these inventions are independent or distinct (which Applicant states in remarks sent 4/06/07, there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Regarding claim 41, the word "means" is preceded by the word(s) "for stopping s product" in an attempt to use a "means" clause to recite a claim element as a means for performing a specified function. However, since no function is specified by the word(s) preceding "means," it is impossible to determine the equivalents of the element, as

Art Unit: 3651

required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. See *Ex parte Klumb*, 159 USPQ 694 (Bd. App. 1967).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 41-43 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Frank U.S. Patent No. 3,992,182.

Claim 41, Frank teaches a first section of roller shafts (24) each driving a plurality of slippable rollers, a first portion of the first section driving rollers which slip at a first predetermined torque, a second portion of the first section driving rollers (25) which slip at a second predetermined torque, the second torque being greater than the first torque; a second section of roller shafts, each driving a plurality of rollers fixed to a corresponding shaft of the second section, the second section adapted and configured for receiving products conveyed from the first section (C4 L20-25); and means for stopping a product on the second portion of the first section, the stopping means being proximate to the second section (C4 L66-68).

Claims 42 and 43, Frank teaches the second portion of the second section has a length that is less than about the length of the product (C8 L20-25).

Claim 45, Frank teaches the conveying speed of the second section is more than about one and one-half the conveying speed of the first section (C8 L45-50).

Art Unit: 3651

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frank U.S.

Patent No. 3,992,182 in view of Jabbusch U.S. Patent No. 3,894,627.

Claim 44, Frank teaches an infeed conveyor receiving conveyed products from the second section, but not as Jabbusch teaches providing the products to the product wrapper (C2 L9-12) have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add a wrapper section as taught by Jabbusch into the invention of Frank to provide an additional working station and allow easy packaging for any article being transfered.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Kavel P. Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-2362. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on (571) 272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3651

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KPS

GENE OTCRAWFORD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER